This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/818,062	03/26/2001	Sriram Haridas	81862.P214	9158
7590 09/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Sang Hui Michael Kim			SALL, EL HADJI MALICK	
BLAKELY, SC	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR &	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire Boulevard		2157		
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026		DATE MAILED, 00/01/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/818,062	HARIDAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	El Hadji M Sall	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	arch 2001.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2157

1. DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the application filed on March 26, 2001. Claims 1 - 25 are pending. Claims 1 - 25 represent method and system for a voice multicast hardware accelerator.

2. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6-7, 11-12, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isaka U.S. 6,654,455 in view of Iwama et al. U.S. 6,600,735.

Isaka teaches the invention substantially as claimed including IP conference telephone compatible with IP-PBX systems (see abstract).

As to claim 1, Isaka teaches in a network device including a host system coupled to a memory to store data and a line card to interface with a plurality of user devices, a method comprising:

receiving a network packet including voice data by the host system (column 1, lines 57-62, Isaka discloses a conference trunk is interconnected to the highway for performing, upon receiving packets...);

sending a voice packet related to the voice data to the line card without duplication, the voice packet including descriptor fields for multicasting the voice data

Art Unit: 2157

(column 1, lines 62-65, Isaka discloses the at least three telephone terminal systems connected for a conference send packets of voice signals received from the telephone terminals to the network to address them to the conference trunk; column 7, lines 60-65, Isaka discloses...a multicast router 70 is substituted...; column 7, lines 26-32, Isaka discloses...each receives the above voice packets via the IP network 10, and each analyze the headers of the packets...the CPU 40 identifies a packet meant for the telephone...);

selectively multicasting the voice data to the plurality of user devices based on the descriptor fields in the voice packet (column 2, lines 45-49, Isaka discloses each IP telephone 12 is a telephone terminal...capable of selectively assembling a voice signal into an IP packet and sending the packet to the IP network 10 or disassembling a received IP packet to thereby reproduces a voice signal; column 7, lines 65-67, Isaka discloses the multicast router 70 manages an IP multicast group that may be set up in the IP network 10a; column 7, lines 26-32, Isaka discloses...each receives the above voice packets via the IP network 10, and each analyze the headers of the packets...the CPU 40 identifies a packet meant for the telephone...);

Isaka fails to teach storing the voice data in the memory; and selectively multicasting the voice data stored in the memory to the plurality of user devices based on the descriptor field in the voice packet.

However, Iwama teaches Internet telephone connection method, bandwidth controller and gate keeper. Iwama teaches storing the voice data in the memory (figure 9; column 14,lines 13-23, Iwama discloses figure 9 is functional block diagram showing a voice relay router...the storage device (1802) is a memory device such as RAM or the like which is contained in the router).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Isaka in view of Iwama to store the voice data in the memory of the multicast router; and selectively multicasting the voice data stored in the memory to the plurality of user devices based on the descriptor field in the voice packet. One would be motivated to do so to monitor communication quality under bandwidth reservation (see abstract).

Art Unit: 2157

As to claim 2, Isaka teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the receiving of the network packet includes receiving an Internet Protocol (IP) packet having the voice data (column 2, lines 45-49, Isaka discloses each IP telephone 12 is a telephone terminal...capable of selectively assembling a voice signal into an IP packet and sending the packet to the IP network 10 or disassembling a received IP packet to thereby reproduces a voice signal).

Claims 6-7, 11-12, and 21-22 do not teach or define any new limitations above claims 1-2 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.

4. Claims 3, 8, 13, 19, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isaka U.S. 6,654,455, in view of Iwama et al. U.S. 6,600,735, and further in view of Onishi et al. U.S. 5,434,863.

Isaka teaches the invention substantially as claimed including IP conference telephone compatible with IP-PBX systems (see abstract).

As to claim 3, Isaka teaches the method of claim 1.

Isaka fails to teach the method of claim 1, wherein the descriptor fields include a memory pointer field, status field, or a data length field.

However, Onishi teaches internetworking apparatus for connecting plural network systems and communication network system composed of plural network systems mutually connected. Onishi teaches the descriptor fields include a memory pointer field, status field, mask field, or a data length field (figure 14; column 7, lines 66-68 – column 8, lines 1-4, Onishi discloses...a routing table 400 is made up of a field 401 of an IP address for representing the destination network, subnet mask data 402 for representing subnet information of the destination network...).

Art Unit: 2157

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Isaka in view of Onishi to include in the descriptor fields a memory pointer field, status field, mask field, or a data length field. One would be motivated to do so to identify the routing information.

Claims 8, 13, 19, and 23 do not teach or define any new limitations above claims 3 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.

5. Claim 4-5, 9-10, 14, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isaka U.S. 6,654,455 in view of Iwama et al. U.S. 6,600,735, in view of Onishi et al. U.S. 5,434,863, and further in view of Lin et al. U.S. 6,651,225.

Isaka teaches the invention substantially as claimed including IP conference telephone compatible with IP-PBX systems (see abstract).

As to claim 4, Isaka teaches the method of claim 3, wherein the selective multicasting of the voice data include sending the voice data to selected user devices (column 2, lines 45-49, Isaka discloses each IP telephone 12 is a telephone terminal... capable of selectively assembling a voice signal into an IP packet and sending the packet to the IP network 10 or disassembling a received IP packet to thereby reproduces a voice signal; column 7, lines 65-67, Isaka discloses the multicast router 70 manages an IP multicast group that may be set up in the IP network 10a; column 7, lines 26-32, Isaka discloses...each receives the above voice packets via the IP network 10, and each analyze the headers of the packets...the CPU 40 identifies a packet meant for the telephone...).

Isaka fails to teach multicast hardware accelerator is used to send the voice data to selected user devices based on the mask field.

Art Unit: 2157

However, Lin teaches dynamic evaluation logic system and method. Lin teaches a hardware accelerator (figure 2, item 120).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Isaka in view of Lin to use multicast hardware accelerator to send the voice data to selected user devices based on the mask field. One would be motivated to do so to allow multiple users doing interactive operations in a manner that allows each user to shift back and forth between hardware emulation and software simulation to discover and eliminate problems in the IC design.

As to claim 5, Isaka teaches the method of claim 4.

Isaka fails to teach the multicast hardware accelerator includes a field programmable gate array (FPGA) device.

However, Lin teaches hardware accelerator includes a field programmable gate array (FPGA) device (column 42, lines 19-24, Lin discloses The RCC Hardware accelerator 2620, which is also referred to as the RCC Array in other sections of this patent specification, contains the reconfigurable array of logic elements (e.g.,FPGA) that can model at least a portion of the user's design in hardware so that the user can accelerate the debugging process).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Isaka in view of Lin to provide a field programmable gate array (FPGA) device in the multicast hardware accelerator. One would be motivated to do so to allow the propagation detector in the FPGA chip alerts the global control unit of any input data that is currently propagating within the FPGA chips (see abstract).

Claims 9-10, 14, and 24-25 do not teach or define any new limitations above claims 4-5 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.

Art Unit: 2157

6. Claim 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isaka U.S. 6,654,455, in view of Iwama et al. U.S. 6,600,735, and further in view of Lin et al. U.S. 6,651,225.

Isaka teaches the invention substantially as claimed including IP conference telephone compatible with IP-PBX systems (see abstract).

As to claim 16, Isaka teaches a network device comprising:

a host system including a host central processing unit (CPU) and an operating system, the host system to process packet from the network (figure 5, item 70); and

a line card having a plurality of ports to interface to users devices to multicast data (column 1, lines 62-65, Isaka discloses the at least three telephone terminal systems connected for a conference send packets of voice signals received from the telephone terminals to the network to address them to the conference trunk; column 7, lines 60-65, Isaka discloses...a multicast router 70 is substituted...; column 7, lines 26-32, Isaka discloses...each receives the above voice packets via the IP network 10, and each analyze the headers of the packets...the CPU 40 identifies a packet meant for the telephone...).

Isaka fails to teach a buffer memory to store data from processed packet by the host system.

However, Iwama teaches a buffer memory to store data from processed packets by the host system (figure 9; column 14,lines 13-23, Iwama discloses figure 9 is functional block diagram showing a voice relay router...the storage device (1802) is a memory device such as RAM or the like which is contained in the router).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Isaka in view of Iwama to introduce a buffer memory to store data from processed packet by the host system. One would be motivated to do so to retain information as close to the input/output loop as possible to reduce access time.

Art Unit: 2157

Isaka fails to teach a multicast hardware accelerator to multicast data stored in the buffer memory.

However, Lin teaches hardware accelerator (figure 2, item 120).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Isaka in view of Lin to provide a multicast hardware accelerator to multicast data stored in the buffer memory. One would be motivated to do so to allow multiple users doing interactive operations in a manner that allows each user to shift back and forth between hardware emulation and software simulation to discover and eliminate problems in the IC design.

As to claim 17, Isaka teaches the network device of claim 16.

Isaka fails to teach the multicast hardware accelerator includes a field programmable gate array (FPGA) device.

However, Lin teaches dynamic evaluation logic system and method. Lin teaches hardware accelerator includes a field programmable gate array (FPGA) device (column 42, lines 19-24, Lin discloses The RCC Hardware accelerator 2620, which is also referred to as the RCC Array in other sections of this patent specification, contains the Reconfigurable array of logic elements (e.g.,FPGA) that can model at least a portion of the user's design in hardware so that the user can accelerate the debugging process).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Isaka in view of Lin to provide the multicast hardware accelerator includes a field programmable gate array (FPGA) device. One would be motivated to do so to allow the propagation detector in the FPGA chip alerts the global control unit of any input data that is currently propagating within the FPGA chips (see abstract).

As to claim 18, Isaka teaches the network device of claim 16, wherein the host system is to send a packet relating to the data stored in the buffer memory, the packet includes descriptor fields used to multicast the data stored in the buffer memory (column 2, lines 45-49, Isaka discloses each IP telephone 12 is a telephone terminal...capable of selectively assembling a voice signal into an IP packet and sending the packet to the

Art Unit: 2157

IP network 10 or disassembling a received IP packet to thereby reproduces a voice signal; column 7, lines 65-67, Isaka discloses the multicast router 70 manages an IP multicast group that may be set up in the IP network 10a; column 7, lines 26-32, Isaka discloses...each receives the above voice packets via the IP network 10, and each analyze the headers of the packets...the CPU 40 identifies a packet meant for the telephone...);

As to claim 20, Isaka teaches the network device of claim 16.

Isaka fails to teach the data stored in the buffer memory includes voice data.

However, Iwama teaches the data stored in the buffer memory includes voice data (figure 9; column 14,lines 13-23, Iwama discloses figure 9 is functional block diagram showing a voice relay router...the storage device (1802) is a memory device such as RAM or the like which is contained in the router).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Isaka in view of Iwama to introduce a buffer memory to store data from processed packet by the host system. One would be motivated to do so to retain information as close to the input/output loop as possible to reduce access time.

Isaka fails to teach the voice data is to be multicasted by the multicast hardware accelerator.

However, Lin teaches a hardware accelerator (figure 2, item 120)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Isaka in view of Lin to provide a multicast hardware accelerator to multicast data stored in the buffer memory. One would be motivated to do so to allow multiple users doing interactive operations in a manner that allows each user to shift back and forth between hardware emulation and software simulation to discover and eliminate problems in the IC design.

Art Unit: 2157

7. Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to El Hadji M Sall whose telephone number is 703-306-4153. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703 308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

El Hadji Sall Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2157

> SALEH NAJJAR PRIMARY EXAMINER

